Serial No. 09/482,354

Amendment Dated: November 22, 2004 Reply to Office Action of June 21, 2004

Attorney Docket No. 381NP/48511

REMARKS

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of Claim 24, as indicated at

paragraph 4 of the Office Action.

Applicants further acknowledge that this application is currently under

final rejection. Accordingly, a Request for Continued Examination is being filed

concurrently herewith, and Applicants request that further prosecution of this

application be conducted taking the foregoing amendment into account.

Claims 27 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph

based on the lack of an antecedent basis for the phrase "said composite oxide" in

the last line thereof. In response to this ground of rejection, Applicants have

amended Claim 27 to include such an antecedent basis by incorporating therein

the final paragraph of Claim 24. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of

this ground of rejection are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3-5, 19-21, 23, 25, 26, 28 and 29 have been rejected under 35

U.S.C. §112, first paragraph on the ground that there is no description support

for the specified range of "from 0.20 to 3.5 grams per 100 grams" of Pd, Ir and

Ru. In response to this ground of rejection, Applicants have amended Claim 1 to

specify a range of 0.25 to 3 (which is supported in the specification at page 8,

lines 20 and 21, as referred to by the Examiner). In this regard, Applicants note

Page 14 of 16

Serial No. 09/482,354

Amendment Dated: November 22, 2004

Reply to Office Action of June 21, 2004

Attorney Docket No. 381NP/48511

that Claims 5 and 22 already are limited to the latter range. Accordingly,

reconsideration and withdrawal of this ground of rejection are respectfully

requested.

Claims 1, 3-5, 19-23, 25, 26, 28 and 29 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C.

§103(a) as unpatentable over International Patent Document WO 97/47864. In

response to this ground of rejection, Applicants have amended each of Claims 1,

5, 22 and 27 to incorporate a limitation corresponding to the last paragraph of

Claim 24, which has been allowed. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit

that each of the independent claims which remain of record in this application is

now allowable, as are the dependent claims which depend therefrom.

In light of the foregoing remarks, this application should be in condition

for allowance, and early passage of this case to issue is respectfully requested. If

there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general,

a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should

expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

Page 15 of 16

Serial No. 09/482,354 Amendment Dated: November 22, 2004 Reply to Office Action of June 21, 2004 Attorney Docket No. 381NP/48511

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #381NP/48511).

Respectfully submitted,

ary R. Edwards

Registration No. 31,824

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300

Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

GRE:kms 318078v1